UDC: 616.28-008.1-053.2

A PROFESSIONAL AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH TO IDENTIFYING HEARING IMPAIRMENTS IN CHILDREN

Karimova Nargiza Abdullayevna[1], Botirova Kamola Ikrom qizi[2]

Karimova Nargiza Abdullayevna - PhD (Medical sciences), Tashkent State Medical University
Botirova Kamola Ikrom qizi-2-nd year Master's student at the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Tashkent State Medical University

Abstract

Hearing impairment in children significantly disrupts speech, language, and cognitive development, often resulting in long-term educational, social, and emotional challenges if not addressed early. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 34 million children under the age of 15 worldwide live with disabling hearing loss, with nearly 60% of these cases being preventable through timely screening, vaccination, and improved maternal and child health practices. In developed nations like the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) report that 2 to 3 per 1,000 newborns have detectable hearing loss, highlighting the importance of universal newborn hearing screening programs. However, the prevalence of mild to moderate hearing losses remains underestimated globally due to factors such as delayed parental recognition, socioeconomic barriers, and insufficient awareness among primary care providers, including pediatricians. In many instances, these impairments are only identified between the ages of 3 and 7 years, missing critical windows for intervention. Parents of children exhibiting developmental delays, particularly in speech and language (such as developmental language disorder or speech sound disorders), commonly seek assistance from speech-language pathologists, educational defectologists, or neurologists, frequently bypassing specialized otolaryngologists (ENT doctors) or audiologists (surdologists), whose roles are often underrecognized in many regions.

The diagnosis and rehabilitation of sensorineural hearing loss or deafness in young children pose substantial challenges in pediatric audiology, primarily because conventional behavioral audiometry, like pure-tone audiometry, is unreliable in children under 3 years due to their limited cognitive and motor responses. Objective electrophysiological and acoustic methods, including otoacoustic emissions (OAE), auditory brainstem response (ABR), and multi-frequency auditory steady-state response (ASSR), serve as the cornerstone for accurate hearing assessment in this demographic, enabling early detection and tailored interventions. This study, conducted at a research institute, examined 46 children presenting with primary speech delay complaints through a multidisciplinary lens, involving neurological, speech-language,

and audiological evaluations. Findings revealed that auditory dysfunction underpinned 39.1% of speech delay cases, underscoring the imperative to integrate routine hearing screenings into standard protocols for developmental delays. Prioritizing such assessments before commencing rehabilitative therapies aligns with international guidelines from organizations like the Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH) and can substantially enhance developmental outcomes, reduce societal burdens, and support global health initiatives aimed at preventing avoidable disabilities in children.

Keywords: hearing impairment, pediatric audiology, speech-language delay, objective audiometry, otoacoustic emissions (OAE), auditory brainstem response (ABR), auditory steady-state response (ASSR), sensorineural hearing loss, conductive hearing loss, early hearing detection and intervention (EHDI), multidisciplinary collaboration, developmental delays, global prevalence, preventable hearing loss, newborn screening.

Materials and Methods

This prospective observational study was carried out at the Research Institute [details redacted for confidentiality], targeting children under 7 years of age with primary complaints of speech and language delays. A total of 46 participants were recruited following informed consent from parents or guardians, in compliance with ethical standards outlined by the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the institutional review board. Exclusion criteria included children with known genetic syndromes, severe neurological disorders unrelated to hearing, or prior audiological interventions to ensure focus on undiagnosed cases.

All children received comprehensive multidisciplinary evaluations: neurological assessments to rule out central nervous system involvement, and speech-language pathology consultations by certified defectologists to quantify speech delays using standardized tools such as the Preschool Language Scale or equivalent age-appropriate metrics. Objective pediatric audiometry was conducted in a soundproof environment using calibrated equipment adhering to international standards (e.g., ISO 389 series).

The audiometric protocol encompassed:

- Otoacoustic emissions (OAE) testing, specifically distortion product OAE (DPOAE) or transient evoked OAE (TEOAE), to assess cochlear outer hair cell integrity.
- Auditory brainstem response (ABR), also known as brainstem auditory evoked potentials (BAEP or KSVP in some nomenclature), utilizing click stimuli at varying intensities to evaluate neural conduction along the auditory pathway.
- Multi-frequency auditory steady-state response (Multi-ASSR) for precise, frequency-specific threshold determination across 500 Hz to 4 kHz, enhancing diagnostic accuracy for tonal sensitivities.

These methods were chosen for their objectivity and reliability in non-cooperative young children, as recommended by the American Academy of Audiology

and JCIH guidelines. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, with hearing thresholds classified per WHO criteria: normal (≤25 dB HL), mild (26-40 dB), moderate (41-55 dB), severe (56-70 dB), profound (71-90 dB), and deafness (>90 dB). Latency measures in ABR helped differentiate conductive from sensorineural etiologies, with prolongations >8 ms indicating middle ear involvement. All testing was performed by trained audiologists, and inter-rater reliability was ensured through duplicate assessments in 20% of cases.

Results and Discussion

Of the 46 children enrolled, 12 (26.1%) demonstrated a total absence of age-appropriate speech skills, while the remainder exhibited varying degrees of delay. OAE screening yielded a "fail" outcome in 18 children (39.1%), suggesting cochlear dysfunction, contrasted with 28 (60.9%) who passed, indicating intact outer hair cell function.

Detailed ABR analysis disclosed:

- Profound hearing loss with V-wave detection at 100 dB HL, consistent with deafness, in 2 children (4.3%).
- Moderate hearing thresholds (55-60 dB HL) in 6 children (13.0%).
- Mild-to-moderate thresholds (45-50 dB HL) in 3 children (6.5%).
- Elevated thresholds accompanied by latency extensions exceeding 8 ms, characteristic of conductive hearing loss (e.g., due to otitis media or ossicular issues), in 7 children (15.2%).

Integrated Multi-ASSR and ABR results confirmed normal hearing function in the remaining 28 children (60.9%), attributing their speech delays to non-auditory factors such as linguistic exposure or neurodevelopmental variations.

Collectively, auditory dysfunction was identified as the etiological factor in 39.1% of speech delay presentations, a figure that surpasses some regional estimates but aligns with broader international data indicating hearing loss contributes to 20-40% of pediatric language delays. Comparative studies, such as those from the CDC and European cohorts, report similar underdiagnosis rates, with mild losses often overlooked until school age, exacerbating academic disparities. The WHO emphasizes that 60% of childhood hearing impairments are preventable, yet barriers like limited access to specialists in low- and middle-income countries perpetuate delays. Our findings reinforce the need for universal EHDI programs, as evidenced by successful implementations in the US and Australia, where early detection rates exceed 95%, leading to improved language proficiency by age 5. Multidisciplinary teams, incorporating pediatricians, neurologists, speech therapists, and audiologists, are pivotal in bridging diagnostic gaps, particularly in regions with low awareness of surdology. Furthermore, socioeconomic factors, including rural-urban divides and parental education, influence referral patterns, suggesting targeted public health campaigns to enhance early intervention. These results advocate for policy shifts

toward mandatory hearing evaluations in developmental assessments, potentially reducing the global burden of untreated hearing loss.

Conclusion

This investigation illuminates that approximately 40% of speech and language delays in young children may stem from undiagnosed hearing impairments, a preventable contributor to developmental setbacks. By mandating objective hearing assessments—such as OAE, ABR, and ASSR—in all suspected cases prior to therapeutic or rehabilitative strategies, healthcare systems can foster earlier interventions, optimizing speech acquisition, cognitive growth, and overall quality of life. Embracing a multidisciplinary framework not only accelerates diagnosis but also aligns with international benchmarks from WHO, CDC, and JCIH, promoting equity in child health outcomes. Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of early screening in diverse populations and integrate advanced technologies like tele-audiology to extend reach in underserved areas, ultimately curtailing the societal and economic costs of untreated pediatric hearing loss.

References

1. World Health Organization. (2025, February 26). Deafness and hearing loss. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/deafness-and-hearing-loss
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022). Data and statistics about hearing loss in children. https://www.cdc.gov/hearing-loss-children/data/index.html
3. Dimitrov, L., & Gossman, W. (2023). Pediatric hearing loss. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK538285/
4. Johns Hopkins Medicine. (n.d.). Types of hearing disorders in children. https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/conditions-and-diseases/hearing-loss/hearing-loss-in-children/types-of-hearing-disorders-in-children
5. American Academy of Pediatrics. (2025, June 26). Early hearing detection and intervention coding fact sheet. https://www.aap.org/en/patient-care/early-hearing-detection-and-intervention/early-hearing-detection-and-intervention-coding-fact-sheet/
6. Sommerfeldt, J., & Kolb, C. (2023). Hearing loss assessment in children. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK580492/
7. American Academy of Audiology. (2020). Clinical guidance document: Assessment of hearing in infants and young children. https://www.audiology.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Clin-Guid-Doc_Assess_Hear_Infants_Children_1.23.20.pdf
8. Nationwide Children's Hospital. (2021). Children with hearing loss: Guidelines for schools. https://www.nationwidechildrens.org/family-resources-education/health-wellness-and-safety-resources/helping-hands/children-with-hearing-loss-guidelines-for-schools

9. Louisiana Department of Health. (2024). Pediatric diagnostic audiology guidelines: Louisiana Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Program. https://ldh.la.gov/assets/oph/Center-PHCH/Center-PH/cshs/EHDI/LAEHDI_PediatricAudiologyGuidelines5.2024_FINAL.pdf

10. Legion Healthcare Solutions. (2023). Pediatric audiology billing guidelines for 2023. https://legionhealthcaresolutions.com/blogs/pediatric-audiology-billing-guidelines-for-2023/