

## THE HARMONY OF TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND MODERN INNOVATIONS

Volume 01, Issue 01, 2025

#### GROUND STATES OF TRANSLATION-INVARIANT TYPE FOR THE FOUR-STATE CHUI-WEEKS MODEL ON THE CAYLEY TREE OF ORDER TWO

#### Hakimova Muslimaxon Akbarjon qizi

Student of the Physics and Mathematics Faculty of NamSU

Email: hakimovamuslimaxon@gmail.com

**Abstract:** This paper investigates the four-state Chui-Weeks model defined on a Cayley tree of order two. A complete description of all translation-invariant ground states for this model is provided.

**Key words:** Cayley tree, Chui-Weeks model, ground state, translation invariance.

In this study, we analyze the four-state Chui-Weeks model on the Cayley tree of order two for the first time. All possible translation-invariant ground states are characterized in detail. Earlier, the three-state version of the Chui-Weeks model on Cayley trees was examined in [1–3].

Cayley  $\Gamma^k$ The (see, [4]) of infinite order k > 1is an tree, i.e., graph without a cycles, from each vertex of which exactly k+1edges issue. Let  $\Gamma^k = (V, L, i)$ , where V is the set of vertices of  $\Gamma^k$ , L is the set of edges of  $\Gamma^k$  and function associating edge is the incidence each  $l\epsilon L$ with its  $i(l) = \{x, y\},\$ endpoints  $x, y \in V$ . If then  $\chi$ and y are called nearest neighboring vertices, and we write  $l = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

We consider the model where the spin takes values in the  $\Phi = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}.$  $A \subseteq V$ For spin configuration a defined function  $\boldsymbol{A}$ is  $\sigma_{A}$ a  $x \in A \to \sigma_A(x) \in \Phi$ ; the configurations set of all coincides with  $\Omega_A = \Phi^A$ . Denote  $\Omega = \Omega_V$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_V$ .

**Definition 1.** A configuration that is invariant with respect to all shifts is called translation-invariant.

The Chui-Weeks model (see [1]) is defined by the following Hamiltonian

$$H(\sigma) = J \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle \in L} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| + \alpha \sum_{x \in V} \delta_{\sigma(x), 0}, \tag{1}$$



# THE HARMONY OF TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND MODERN INNOVATIONS

Volume 01, Issue 01, 2025

where J,  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $\alpha$  is an external field and  $\sigma \in \Omega$ .

**Remark.** It should be noted that model (1) reduces to the SOS model when the parameter  $\alpha = 0$  (see, for example, [4]).

Let M be the set of all unit balls with vertices in V and  $S_1(x)$  be the set of all nearest neighboring vertices of  $x \in V$ .

We call the restriction of a configuration to the ball  $b \in M$  a bounded configuration  $\sigma_b$ . The energy of configuration  $\sigma_b$  on b is defined by the formula

$$U(\sigma_b) = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{x \in S_1(c_b)} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(c_b)| + \frac{\alpha}{k+2} \sum_{x \in b} \delta_{\sigma(x),0}.$$

where  $J = (J, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $c_b$  is the center of the unit ball b.

The Hamiltonian (1) can be written as

$$H(\sigma) = \sum_{b \in M} U(\sigma_b).$$

Now we study translation-invariant ground states for the four-state Chui-Weeks model on the Cayley tree of order two.

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let k = 2. Then for each configuration  $\varphi_b$ , we have the following  $U(\varphi_b) \in \{U_i : i = 1, 2, ..., 29\}$ .

where

$$\begin{split} U_1 &= 0; \ U_2 = \alpha; \ U_3 = \frac{J}{2}; \ U_4 = \frac{J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_5 = \frac{J}{2} + \frac{3\alpha}{4}; \ U_6 = J; \ U_7 = J + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \\ U_8 &= J + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \ U_9 = J + \frac{3\alpha}{4}; \ U_{10} = \frac{3J}{2}; \ U_{11} = \frac{3J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_{12} = \frac{3J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \\ U_{13} &= \frac{3J}{2} + \frac{3\alpha}{4}; \ U_{14} = 2J; \ U_{15} = 2J + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_{16} = 2J + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \ U_{17} = \frac{5J}{2}; \\ U_{18} &= \frac{5J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_{19} = \frac{5J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \ U_{20} = 3J; \ U_{21} = 3J + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_{22} = 3J + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \\ U_{23} &= 3J + \frac{3\alpha}{4}; \ U_{24} = \frac{7J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_{25} = \frac{7J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \ U_{26} = 4J + \frac{\alpha}{4}; \ U_{27} = 4J + \frac{\alpha}{2}; \end{split}$$



### HE HARMONY OF TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND MODERN INNOVATIONS

Volume 01, Issue 01, 2025

$$U_{28} = \frac{9J}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}$$
;  $U_{28} = \frac{9J}{2} + \frac{3\alpha}{4}$ .

**Definition 2.** A configuration  $\varphi$  is called a ground state for the Hamiltonian (1), if

$$U(\varphi_h) = min\{U_i: i = 1, 2, ..., 29\}$$

for any  $b \in M$ .

We denote

$$A_{\xi} = \{(J, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : U_{\xi} = \min\{U_i : i = 1, 2, ..., 29\}\}.$$

Calculations show that:

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon J \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0\}; \\ A_2 &= \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon 18J \geq \alpha, \alpha \leq 0\}; \\ A_3 &= A_6 = A_{10} = A_{14} = A_{17} = \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon J = 0, \alpha \geq 0\}; \\ A_4 &= A_5 = A_7 = A_8 = A_9 = A_{11} = A_{12} = A_{13} = A_{15} = \\ A_{16} &= A_{18} = A_{19} = A_{21} = A_{22} = \cdots = \\ &= A_{27} = \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon J = 0, \alpha = 0\}; \\ A_{20} &= \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon -\alpha \leq 6J \leq 0\}; \\ A_{28} &= \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon 0 \leq \alpha \leq -6J\}; \\ A_{29} &= \{(J,\alpha) \in R^2 \colon 18J \leq \alpha \leq 0\} \end{split}$$

and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{29} A_i = R^2$ .

The next theorem gives a full description of the translation-invariant ground states of the four-state Chui-Weeks model on the Cayley tree of order two.

**Theorem.** Let k=2. Then, for the four-state Chui-Weeks model, the following assertions hold:

- (i) The configurations  $\sigma(x) = i, i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , for for all  $x \in V$  are translationinvariant ground states iff  $(I, \alpha) \in A_1$ ;
- (ii) The configuration  $\sigma(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V$  is the translation-invariant ground state iff  $(I, \alpha) \in A_2$ ;
  - (iii) If  $(J, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)$ , then there is no translation-invariant ground state. **Proof.** Let k=2.
- (i) We consider the configuration  $\sigma(x) = i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , for all  $x \in V$ . For any  $b \in M$ by Lemma we have  $U(\sigma_b) = U_1 = 0$ . Thus the configuration  $\sigma(x) = i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , for all  $x \in V$ is ground state iff  $(J,\alpha) \in A_1$ ;



## THE HARMONY OF TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND MODERN INNOVATIONS

Volume 01, Issue 01, 2025

- (ii) We consider the configuration  $\sigma^{(x)=0}$  for all  $x \in V$ . For any  $b \in M$  by Lemma we have  $U(\sigma_b) = U_2 = \alpha$ . Thus the configuration  $\sigma^{(x)=0}$  for all  $x \in V$  is ground state iff  $(J,\alpha) \in A_2$ ;
  - (iii) It is obvious. The theorem has been proved.

#### **LITERATURE**

- 1. Rahmatullaev M. M., Rasulova M. A., Hakimova M. A. Periodic ground states for the Chui-Weeks model on the Cayley tree of order two // Acta NUUz: Exact sciences. 2024. No. 2/2.1. P. 104–110.
- 2. Rahmatullaev M. M., Rasulova M. A., Hakimova M. A. On periodic ground states for the Chui-Weeks model // Acta NUUz, Exact sciences, 2025, №2/2, 2025, pp. 105–110.
- 3. Rasulova M. A., Hakimova M. A. Periodic Ground States for the Chui-Weeks Model on the Cayley Tree of Order Three // Letters in Mathematical Physics. 2025 (accepted).
- 4. Rozikov U. A. Gibbs measures on Cayley trees. Singapore: World Scientific, 2013.